

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,626	01/14/2002	Anna Pelagotti	NL010022	4805	
24737 7	7590 08/01/2005		. EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WONG, ALLEN C		
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	1 MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
	•		2613		
		DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/046,626	PELAGOTTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Allen Wong	2613	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen Wong	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	f the final rejection. risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	er is later. In no
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate extension The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	corresponding number of finally re		the issues for
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co.):		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,3 and 5. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,6 and 7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w		-
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a to a sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displayure Statement(s) 			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(F10/36/06 01 P10-1449) Paper	Affen Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the limitations of claims 1, 4, 6 and 7 have been addressed in the previous Office Action sent on 5/20/05. Independent claims 2 and 5 are now allowable since they are written in independent form. On page 10-13 of applicant's remarks, applicant states that Nakajima does not disclose or suggest "calculating weights as a function of the reliability of the motion vectors", "generating interpolated results as a function of these motion vectors", and "generating an interpolated luminous intensity of a group of pixels for an interpolated image by calculating, on the basis of these weights, a weighted average of the interpolated results". The examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously stated, Nakajima fig.20 discloses the inputted motion vectors V1...Vn to element 43, the selector, is done to process or interpolate the results of the motion vectors V1... Vn obtained via the motion vector estimators 31... 3n. At the end of the process or interpolation, element 43 considers the information from elements 41 and 42 that incorporates the data of the weights E1... En, the best processed or interpolated results are outputted from element 43. Thus, Nakajima discloses "generating interpolated results as a function of these motion vectors". Further, Nakajima discloses, in fig.20, the results are outputted from element 43, clearly are obtained by incorporating the weights E1 ... En, ie. luminous intensity, from elements 31 ... 3n. Weights E1 ... En are inputted to elements 41 and 42 where the data is processed or interpolated to generate interpolated data to send to element 43 that takes the weighted data E1... En into consideration, and that element 43 yields the best processed or interpolated results for the generation of interpolated luminous intensity, ie. weighted data, of a group of pixels for an interpolated image. Weights E1 ... En are obtained from interpolated luminous intensity or the luminance differences to obtain the differences in motion in order to properly determine the best representative luminous intensity of the image data. Thus, Nakajima teaches "generating an interpolated image by calculating, on the basis of these weights, a weighted average of the interpolated results".